

Recall: the dual vector space of  $V$  is  $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, k)$

Def: Given a linear map  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ , the transpose of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$  defined as follows: given a linear functional  $l: W \rightarrow k$ , composing with  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  gives a linear map  $l \circ \varphi: V \rightarrow k$ . Thus,  $\varphi^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$   
 (check:  $\varphi^*$  is linear)  $l \mapsto \varphi^*(l) := l \circ \varphi$ .

Check: • given a basis  $(e_i)$  of  $V$ , elements of  $V$  are represented by column vectors  $X$   
 $V^* = \text{hom}(V, k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{row vectors } Y$

Applying a linear functional  $l \in V^*$  to a vector  $v \in V \Leftrightarrow YX \in k$ .

- if  $M(\varphi, (e_i), (f_j)) = A$ , then  $M(\varphi^*, (f_j^*), (e_i^*)) = A^T$  transpose matrix

This is because: given  $l \in W^*$  and  $v \in V$ ,  $l(\varphi(v)) = (\varphi^*(l))(v) = YA^T X$   
 so  $\varphi^*$ , viewed as operation on row vectors, is  $Y \mapsto YA$ .

Meanwhile the dual bases give a description of elements of  $V^*, W^*$  by column vectors, which are the transposes of the row vectors. The claim then follows since  $\varphi^* l$  as column vector is  $(YA)^T = A^T Y^T$ .

Prop: (In the finite dim. case)  $\varphi$  is injective iff  $\varphi^*$  is surjective  
 $\varphi$  is surjective iff  $\varphi^*$  is injective

Follows from: Prop: (1)  $\ker(\varphi^*) = \text{Ann}(\text{Im } \varphi)$  ( $= \{l \in W^* / l|_{\text{Im } \varphi} = 0\}$ ).  
 (2)  $\text{Im}(\varphi^*) = \text{Ann}(\ker \varphi)$   $\leftarrow$  assuming finite dim.

Proof: (1)  $l \in \text{Ann}(\text{Im } \varphi) \Leftrightarrow l(\varphi(v)) = 0 \forall v \in V \Leftrightarrow \varphi^*(l) = l \circ \varphi = 0 \Leftrightarrow l \in \ker \varphi^*$ .  
 (2) If  $l' = \varphi^*(l) \in \text{Im}(\varphi^*)$  then  $l' = l \circ \varphi$  so  $l'|_{\ker \varphi} = 0$ . So  $\text{Im}(\varphi^*) \subset \text{Ann}(\ker \varphi)$ .

Dim. formula and (1) imply  $\text{rank}(\varphi^*) = \text{rank}(\varphi)$ , hence the inclusion is an equality.  $\square$

Linear operators: A linear operator on  $V$  (aka endomorphism of  $V$ ) is a linear map  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ .

Notation:  $\text{End}(V) = \text{Hom}(V, V)$ .

- \* When using a basis to express  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  as a (square) matrix, we want to use the same basis on each side:  $A = M(\varphi, (e_i), (e_i))$ , transforms by  $P^{-1}AP$ .
- \* New thing: we can compose linear operators with each other  $\varphi\psi = \varphi \circ \psi: V \rightarrow V$  or with themselves:  $\varphi^n = \varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi$ , or even apply polynomials:

$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V)$  is a (noncommutative) ring.

$$P = \sum a_n x^n \rightsquigarrow P(\varphi) = \sum a_n \varphi^n, V \rightarrow V.$$

(2)

- \* Given vector spaces  $V_1, V_2$  and linear operators  $\varphi_i: V_i \rightarrow V_i$ , we can define

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 : V_1 \oplus V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2 \quad \text{operator on } V = V_1 \oplus V_2.$$

The operator  $\varphi$  leaves the subspaces  $V_1, V_2 \subset V$  invariant:  $\varphi(V_i) \subset V_i$ , and working in a basis of  $V$  st.  $e_1, \dots, e_m \in V_1, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n \in V_2$ , the matrix of  $\varphi$  is block diagonal: 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Conversely, if  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  and  $\varphi(V_i) \subset V_i$  then  $\varphi$  is of this form.

More generally, if we only assume  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  and  $V_1 \subset V$  is invariant ( $\varphi(V_1) \subset V_1$ ) but not necess.  $V_2$ , then the matrix of  $\varphi$  would be

block triangular: 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi|_{V_1} & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

So: a typical way to study  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  is to look for invariant subspaces.

- \* If  $U \subset V$  is invariant and  $\dim U = 1$  (so:  $U = k \cdot v$  for some  $v \in V$ ), then necessarily  $\varphi(v) = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in k$ .

Def: An eigenvector of  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  is a vector  $v \in V, v \neq 0$ , st.  $\varphi(v) = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in k$ .  $\lambda$  is called the eigenvalue corresponding to  $v$ .

- \* If we can find a basis of  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $\varphi$ , then we have diagonalized  $\varphi$ , ie. found a basis where its matrix is diagonal

$$\varphi(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i \quad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ \lambda_1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the best outcome, but not always possible!

Ex:  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$  has eigenvectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (or any multiples) with eigenvalues  $\lambda, \mu$ .

However  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  has only one eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with eigenvalue 1,  
(up to scaling!) NOT diagonalizable.

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  doesn't even have any eigenvectors (see HW4 Problem 1).

Prop: Eigenvectors of  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  with distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.

Pf: Assume  $v_1, \dots, v_p$  are eigenvectors with  $\varphi(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i$ ,  $\lambda_i$  all distinct.

Assume there is a linear relation  $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_p v_p = 0$  with  $a_i$  not all zero.

and this has the fewest ( $\geq 2$ ) possible nonzero  $a_i$  of any such relation ③

Then  $\varphi(\sum a_i v_i) = \sum a_i \varphi(v_i) = \sum a_i \lambda_i v_i = 0$  another linear relation!

$$a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_\ell \lambda_\ell v_\ell = 0.$$

Fix  $i$  st.  $a_i \neq 0$ , and subtract:

$$a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)v_1 + \dots + a_\ell(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_i)v_\ell = 0$$

→ linear relation where coefficient of  $v_i$  is now zero, but all other nonzero coefficients (at least one) remain nonzero (since  $\lambda_j - \lambda_i \neq 0$ ). Contradicts minimality assumption. □

Corollary: // The number of distinct eigenvalues of  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  is at most  $n = \dim V$ , and if equality holds then  $\varphi$  is diagonalizable.

Digression: // Def: // A field  $k$  is algebraically closed if every nonconstant polynomial  $p \in k[x]$  has a root in  $k$ , i.e.  $\exists \alpha \in k$  st.  $p(\alpha) = 0$ .

If so, then by division algorithm for polynomials, can write  $p = (x - \alpha)q$ . and repeating, we get  $p = c(x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_d)$ . ( $d = \deg p$ ,  $\alpha_i \in k$ ).

\* Fundamental theorem of algebra:  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed.

(proof is not pure algebra; we'll discuss it in Math 556).

\* If  $k$  is not algebraically closed then there exists an alg. closed field  $\bar{k} \supset k$ , constructed from  $k$  by adjoining roots of polynomials  $\in k[x]$ .

Eg.  $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{C}$ , whereas  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\text{all roots of polynomial eqns with } \mathbb{Q}\text{-coeffs}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$   
(fact: polynomials in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$  have roots in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ )

Prop: // If  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $V$  a finite dimensional vector space over  $k$ , then any linear operator  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  has an eigenvector, i.e.  $\exists v \in V$  s.t.,  $\exists \lambda \in k$  st.  $\varphi(v) = \lambda v$ .

Proof: Let  $n = \dim V$ , and take any nonzero vector  $v \in V$ . Then  $\underbrace{v, \varphi(v), \dots, \varphi^n(v)}_{n+1 \text{ vectors}}$  must be linearly dependent.

So  $\exists a_0, \dots, a_n \in k$  (not all zero) st.  $a_0 v + a_1 \varphi(v) + \dots + a_n \varphi^n(v) = 0$ .

Since  $k$  is algebraically closed, we can factor the polynomial  $\sum a_i x^i$ , hence  $a_0 + a_1 \varphi + \dots + a_n \varphi^n = c(\varphi - \lambda_1) \dots (\varphi - \lambda_d)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_i \in k$ .

(!! The product here is composition of operators, but this is legit !!).

Now,  $(\varphi - \lambda_1) \dots (\varphi - \lambda_d) : V \rightarrow V$  has a nontrivial kernel ( $\exists v$ ), which implies that at least one of  $\varphi - \lambda_i$  is not an isomorphism, hence  $\exists i \in \{1..d\}$  and  $w \in V - \{0\}$  st.  $w \in \text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda_i)$ , i.e.  $\varphi(w) = \lambda_i w$ . □

Corollary: Given  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , there exists a basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of  $V$  in which the matrix of  $\varphi$  is upper-triangular.  $\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$   
(i.e. each subspace  $V_k = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \subset V$  is invariant)

Proof: Induction on  $\dim V$ : If  $\dim V = 1$ , then any nonzero vector  $v_1$  gets mapped to a multiple of itself  $v$ . (any  $1 \times 1$  matrix is triangular)

- Assume result true for  $\dim \leq n-1$ , and consider  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  with  $\dim V = n$ . By lemma,  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue  $\lambda \in k$ . Let  $U = \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda)$ . Since  $\varphi - \lambda$  has nontrivial kernel (= eigenvectors for  $\lambda$ ),  $\dim U < \dim V$ .

Moreover, we claim  $U$  is an invariant subspace for  $\varphi$ .

Indeed: if  $u = (\varphi - \lambda)v \in \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda) = U$ , then

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi(\varphi - \lambda)v = (\varphi - \lambda)\varphi(v) \in \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda) = U.$$

Now, by induction,  $\varphi|_U \in \text{Hom}(U, U) \rightsquigarrow \exists$  basis  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  of  $U$  in which  $\varphi|_U$  is upper-triangular.  $(\varphi(u_i) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i))$

Complete to a basis  $(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k)$  of  $V$ . Then:

- $\varphi(u_i) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$  ✓
- $\varphi(v_i) = \underbrace{(\varphi - \lambda)v_i}_{\in U} + \lambda v_i \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_i)$ . ✓  $\Rightarrow M(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} U & \\ \hline M(\varphi|_U) & * \\ \hline 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$

\* Remark: there's another proof that is easier to discover but harder to follow: again by induction, but now start from  $V_0 = k \cdot v_0$  where  $v_0$  is an eigenvector of  $\varphi$ , and let  $U = V/V_0$ ,  $q: V \rightarrow U$  quotient.

Using  $\varphi(v_0) \in V_0$ ,  $\exists \bar{\varphi}: U \rightarrow U$  st.  $\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & V \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ U & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & U \end{array}$  commutes

(because:  $(q \circ \varphi)|_{V_0} = 0$  so  $q \circ \varphi: V \rightarrow U$  factors through  $V/V_0 = U$ ).

By induction hypothesis,  $\exists$  basis  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$  of  $U$  st.  $\bar{\varphi}(u_i) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$ .

Let  $v_i \in V$  such that  $q(v_i) = u_i$ . Then  $q(\varphi(v_i)) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$

(Note:  $(v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$  basis of  $V$ ).

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(v_i) \in \text{span}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_i). \quad \square$$

$$M(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & & * \\ \hline \lambda & 0 & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & M(\bar{\varphi}) \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Now suppose we have  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  and a basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of  $V$  st  $M(\varphi) = A$  is upper-triangular, ie. each  $V_i = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_i)$  is an invariant subspace of  $\varphi$ . Denote by  $\lambda_i = a_{ii}$  the diagonal entries of  $A$ .

Lemma: ||  $\varphi$  is invertible iff all the diagonal entries of  $A$  are nonzero.

Pf. • if all  $\lambda_i$  are nonzero then  $\varphi$  is surjective (hence isom.) since

$$\varphi(v_1) = \lambda_1 v_1, \quad \lambda_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{so} \quad v_1 \in \text{Im } \varphi$$

$$\varphi(v_2) = \lambda_2 v_2 + a_{12} v_1, \quad \lambda_2 \neq 0 \quad \text{so} \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} (\varphi(v_2) - a_{12} v_1) \in \text{Im } \varphi$$

etc.  $\Rightarrow v_i \in \text{Im } \varphi \quad \forall i$ .

- if  $\lambda_i = 0$  then  $\varphi(V_i) \subset V_{i-1}$  so  $\varphi|_{V_i}$  has nontrivial kernel (since  $\text{rk } \varphi|_{V_i} \leq \dim V_{i-1} < \dim V_i$ ), hence  $\ker \varphi \neq 0$ , not invertible.  $\square$

Corollary: || The following are equivalent :

(1)  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\varphi$

(2)  $\varphi - \lambda$  is not invertible

(3)  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  for some diagonal entry of any upper-triangular matrix  $A$  representing  $\varphi$ .

$((1) \Leftrightarrow (2))$  since eigenvectors =  $\ker(\varphi - \lambda)$ , and  $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  by applying the lemma to  $\varphi - \lambda$  and matrix  $A - \lambda I$ )