

Recall: the tensor product = a vector space  $V \otimes W$  and a bilinear map  $V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes W$

- if  $\{e_i\}, \{f_j\}$  bases of  $V$  and  $W$ ,  $\{e_i \otimes f_j\}$  basis of  $V \otimes W$   $(v, w) \mapsto v \otimes w$
- $\{\text{bilinear maps } V \times W \rightarrow U\} \simeq \{\text{linear maps } V \otimes W \rightarrow U\}$   
 $b(v, w) = \varphi(v \otimes w)$
- rank of a tensor = minimal # of pure tensors needed to express it as  $\sum_{i=1}^{\text{rank}} v_i \otimes w_i$ .
- $V^* \otimes W \simeq \text{Hom}(V, W)$   
 $\ell \otimes w \mapsto (v \mapsto \ell(v)w)$
- $e_i^* \otimes f_j \mapsto \text{linear map whose matrix has 1 in position } (j, i), 0 \text{ everywhere else.}$
- $V^* \otimes W^* \simeq (V \otimes W)^* \simeq \{\text{bilinear maps } V \otimes W \rightarrow k\}$ .

- $\text{Hom}(V, W) \simeq V^* \otimes W \simeq (W^*)^* \otimes V^* \simeq \text{Hom}(W^*, V^*)$

This is actually the transpose construction  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, W) \mapsto \varphi^t: W^* \rightarrow V^*$ .

(easiest to check on rank 1  $\varphi(v) = \ell(v)w \Leftrightarrow \varphi^t(\alpha) = \alpha \circ \varphi = \alpha(w) \ell = ev_w(\alpha)\ell$ )  
 $\ell \otimes w \Leftrightarrow ev_w \otimes \ell$ .

- We can now properly define the trace of a linear operator!

In "ordinary" linear algebra classes, one defines the trace of an  $n \times n$  matrix

$A = (a_{ij})$  to be  $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$  sum of diagonal entries, then noting that

$\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} b_{ji} = \text{tr}(BA)$  we have  $\text{tr}(P^*AP) = \text{tr}(A)$  and so

the trace of  $T: V \rightarrow V$  is defined to be the trace of  $M(T)$  in any basis.

We could also try to define the trace via eigenvalues and their multiplicities, over an alg. closed field: in a basis where  $M(T)$  is triangular it is manifest that  $\text{tr}(T) = \sum n_i \lambda_i$

- We can do better (conceptually), by using  $\text{Hom}(V, V) \simeq V^* \otimes V$ , and the contraction linear map  $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow k$ . Namely, there's a natural bilinear pairing  $ev: V^* \times V \rightarrow k$  and it determines  $\text{tr}: V \otimes V \rightarrow k$   
 $(\ell, v) \mapsto \ell(v)$  on pure tensors,  $\ell \otimes v \mapsto \ell(v)$

This is indeed equivalent to the usual def<sup>n</sup>: choosing a basis  $(e_i)$  and the dual basis  $(e_i^*)$ ,  $\text{tr}(e_i^* \otimes e_j) = e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij} \leftrightarrow \text{trace of the matrix with single entry 1 in pos. } (j, i).$

Def. ||  $m: V_1 \times \dots \times V_k \rightarrow W$  is multilinear if it is linear in each variable separately. (2)

The tensor product  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$  can be defined as above, either using bases of  $V_1 \dots V_k$ , or as a quotient of a universal vector space by relations, or via universal property for multilinear maps:

There is a multilinear map  $\mu: V_1 \times \dots \times V_k \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$  s.t.

$$(v_1, \dots, v_k) \mapsto v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k$$

$\forall W$  vector space,  $\forall m: V_1 \times \dots \times V_k \rightarrow W$  multilinear,  $\exists! \varphi \in \text{Hom}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k, W)$

$$\text{s.t. } m = \varphi \circ \mu \quad V_1 \times \dots \times V_k \xrightarrow{m} W$$

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k \xrightarrow{\exists! \varphi} W$$

In fact nothing new is happening, because  $(U \otimes V) \otimes W = U \otimes (V \otimes W) = U \otimes V \otimes W$ .

But ... in the special case of  $\underbrace{V \otimes \dots \otimes V}_{n \text{ times}} = V^{\otimes n}$  (by convention  $V^{\otimes 0} = k$ ,  $V^{\otimes 1} = V$ )

we have bilinear maps  $V^{\otimes k} \times V^{\otimes l} \rightarrow V^{\otimes(k+l)}$   $\forall k, l \geq 0$ , which taken together define a multiplication on the tensor algebra  $T(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes n}$  making it a noncommutative ring.

### Symmetric algebra:

Remember: we've seen the space of bilinear forms  $B(V) \cong V^* \otimes V^*$  decomposes into  $B(V) = B_{\text{symm}} \oplus B_{\text{skew}}$  (symmetric & skew-symm. bilinear forms).

Equivalently: there is an involution  $\varphi: B(V) \rightarrow B(V)$  taking  $b(x, y) \mapsto b(y, x)$  or on  $V^* \otimes V^*$ :  $\ell \otimes \ell' \mapsto \ell' \otimes \ell$ .  $\curvearrowright = \text{automorphism s.t. } \varphi^2 = \text{id}$ .

$\varphi$  has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  and eigenspaces  $\ker(\varphi - I) = B_{\text{symm}}$ ,  $\ker(\varphi + I) = B_{\text{skew}}$ .

We can also do the same on higher tensor powers of  $V$  or  $V^*$  (the latter = multilinear forms).

There is an action of the symmetric group  $S_d$  on  $V^{\otimes d}$ ,

i.e. each permutation  $\sigma \in S_d$  defines a linear map  $V^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{\sigma} V^{\otimes d}$

+ this defines a group homomorphism  $S_d \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes d})$   $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d \mapsto v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)}$

\* Definition: A tensor  $\eta \in V^{\otimes d}$  is symmetric if  $\sigma \cdot \eta = \eta \quad \forall \sigma \in S_d$

$\text{Sym}^d(V) := \{\text{symmetric tensors}\} \subset V^{\otimes d}$  subspace.

e.g.  $\text{Sym}^d(V^*) = \{\text{symmetric multilinear forms } m: V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow k\}$

$$\text{i.e. } m(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(d)}) = m(v_1, \dots, v_d)$$

If  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , the symmetric part of a tensor can be determined by (3)

averaging :  $\alpha: V^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{Sym}^d V$  linear

$$\text{on pure tensors, } \alpha(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d) = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)}.$$

\* Still assuming  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , we could instead define  $\text{Sym}^d(V)$  as the quotient of  $V^{\otimes d}$  by the subspace spanned by elements of the form  $\gamma - \sigma(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in V^{\otimes d}$ ,  $\sigma \in S_d$  explicitly  $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d - v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_3 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d$  & same for swapping other factors. (since transpositions generate  $S_d$ )

This is different from (but isomorphic to) the previous definition

\* To settle the question of which definition (as quotient vs. subspace of  $V^{\otimes d}$ ) is better: the best def<sup>n</sup> is again by a universal property.

Recall  $V^{\otimes d}$  comes with a multilinear map  $\mu: V^d \rightarrow V^{\otimes d}$  and is characterized by:

$$\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes d}, U) \simeq \{\text{multilinear maps } V^d \rightarrow U\} \text{ using } \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \mu$$

Now  $\text{Sym}^d V$  comes with a symmetric multilinear map  $V^d \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d V$  and is characterized by:

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Sym}^d V, U) \simeq \{\text{symmetric multilinear } V^d \rightarrow U\}.$$

\* The product operations  $V^{\otimes k} \times V^{\otimes l} \rightarrow V^{\otimes k+l}$  induce a product  $\text{Sym}^k V \times \text{Sym}^l V \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k+l} V$  (using  $\otimes$  followed by averaging  $\alpha$ ).

These combine to a product operation on  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(V) := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \text{Sym}^d(V)$ ,

called the symmetric algebra of  $V$ .

$\text{Sym}^\bullet(V)$  is a commutative ring (+ vector space over  $k$ : a  $k$ -algebra)

(check: product is still associative despite symmetrization by averaging :

$$\alpha(\alpha(u \otimes v) \otimes w) = \alpha(u \otimes \alpha(v \otimes w)) = \alpha(u \otimes v \otimes w).$$

Concretely : if  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  basis of  $V$ , then  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(V) \simeq k[e_1, \dots, e_n]$   
 || polynomial expressions in formal variables  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ .

(simply: denoting  $\alpha(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k})$  by  $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}$   
 and considering finite linear combinations of all these)

\* More explicitly: if  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  basis of  $V$ , then any linear form on  $V$ .  
 $l \in V^*$ , is of the form  $v = \sum x_i e_i \mapsto l(v) = \sum a_i x_i$  deg 1 polynomial

(4)

Symmetric multilinear forms  $\eta \in \text{Sym}^d V^*$  are, likewise, polynomials (with only degree  $d$  terms):  $v = \sum x_i e_i \mapsto \eta(v, \dots, v) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d} a_{i_1 \dots i_d} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_d}$ .

So:  $\text{Sym}^*(V^*) \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  polynomials in  $n$  variables

(where, by a slight of hand,  $x_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate of a vector in  $V$  as a linear (degree 1 polynomial) function on  $V$ , ie. really this is another name for  $e_i^* \in V^*$ ).

Exterior algebra: do the same thing for skew-symmetric, aka alternating, multilinear forms.

Def:  $\parallel \eta \in V^{\otimes d}$  is alternating if  $\sigma(\eta) = (-1)^{\sigma} \eta \quad \forall \sigma \in S_d$ .

$\parallel \Lambda^d(V) = \{\text{alternating tensors}\} \subset V^{\otimes d}$ . <sup>↑ sign of  $\sigma$ : -1 for transpositions & products of odd # of them.</sup>

- In characteristic zero, we can view  $\Lambda^d(V)$  as the image of skew-symmetrization operator  $\beta: V^{\otimes d} \rightarrow \Lambda^d(V)$

$$\beta(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d) = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} (-1)^{\sigma} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)} \underset{\text{defn/notation:}}{=} v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d.$$

This is zero whenever  $v_i = v_j$  for some  $i \neq j \dots$  and so by multilinearity, whenever  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  are linearly dependent. Thus  $\Lambda^d(V) = 0$  whenever  $d > \dim V$ !

- Alternative definitions:  $\Lambda^d(V)$  = quotient of  $V^{\otimes d}$  by the subspace spanned by  $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d + v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_3 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d$  and similarly for swapping any two factors

Or:  $\Lambda^d(V)$  vector space with an alternating

$$\text{multilinear map } V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow \Lambda^d V \\ (v_1, \dots, v_d) \mapsto v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d \quad (v_1 \wedge v_2 = -v_2 \wedge v_1 \text{ etc.})$$

and universal for alternating multilinear maps on  $V \times \dots \times V$ .

- If  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  are a basis of  $V$  then  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_d}$ ,  $i_1 < \dots < i_d$  basis of  $\Lambda^d V$ .
- We have a product  $\Lambda^k V \times \Lambda^l V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+l} V$  induced by tensor algebra + skewsymmetrization.  $(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) \wedge (w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_l) = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \wedge w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_l$ .

This makes the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^* V = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \Lambda^d V$  into a (skew-commutative) ring

i.e. if  $\eta \in \Lambda^k V$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda^l V$  then  $\eta \wedge \xi = (-1)^{kl} \xi \wedge \eta$ . (check:  $\dim \Lambda^* V = 2^{\dim V}$ )